1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		Q 1/21 2
8	RAYMOND T. BALVAGE,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C09-5409 BHS
10	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
11	RYDERWOOD IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE ASSOCIATION, INC.,	
12	Defendant.	
13	Defendant.	
14	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration (Dkt. 219). The Court has considered the pleadings filed in support of the motion and the	
15		
16		
17	remainder of the file and hereby denies the motion for the reasons stated herein.	
18	On November 2, 2012, Plaintiffs filed their third amended complaint against RISA	
19	asserting three claims for relief, including that RISA committed acts in violation of 42	
20	U.S.C. § 3617. Dkt. 169 ¶¶ 78–83 ("retaliation claim").	
	On April 18, 2013, RISA filed a motion for summary judgment on Plaintiffs'	
21 22	retaliation claim. Dkt. 186. On May 28, 2013, the Court granted the motion in part and	

denied it in part. Dkt. 207. On June 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed the instant motion for reconsideration. Dkt. 219. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rule CR 7(h)(1). In this case, Plaintiffs have failed to meet their burden for reconsideration. Plaintiffs seek reconsideration based on "new material that was not provided to the Court in connection with the prior motion for summary judgment." Dkt. 219 at 2. The new material is Plaintiffs' prior state court class action complaint and allegations in that complaint that Defendant had engaged in retaliatory action prior to the filing of that complaint. *Id.* Plaintiffs, however, have failed to show that this information could not have been brought to the Court's attention with reasonable diligence. Therefore, the Court denies the motion for reconsideration. IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Dated this 10th day of June, 2013. United States District Judge 20

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22